

Division Directive Number 4.080 Effective Date: April 1, 2006 Revised October 1, 2009

Bernard Simons
Bernard Simons, Director

Title: Integrating Quality Functions

Applies to: Division of Developmental Disabilities Regional Offices

Purpose: Prescribes the systematic process for integrating and synthesizing information from all Quality Functions*. The information is used to evaluate the performance of the service delivery system, remediate identified issues, and develop system improvements in partnership with contracted providers.

Definitions:

Accreditation: A designation achieved by a provider participating in a review of practices and programs conducted by the accrediting body based on international standards. The accrediting bodies recognized by the Division are the Rehabilitation Commission (CARF) and Council for Quality and Leadership (CQL).

Action Plan Tracking System (APTS): A database utilized by the Regional Offices designed to track issues identified through the Quality Enhancement Functions that require action and to recognize positive practices. Issues tracked will be identified through indicators categorized by health, safety, rights, services, and money, in addition to the Missouri Quality Outcomes.

Action Plan Tracking System Summary Reports: An aggregate report of the information gathered by the Action Plan Tracking System. There are several types of reports available, including provider issues, specific individual issues, and regional issues.

Certification: A process used by the Division of Developmental Disabilities to review and approve specified providers for participation and funding through the Home and Community Based Medicaid Waiver program. Certification is granted for a 2-year period.

Individual and Family Supports: The Regional Office Unit responsible for development, implementation, and enhancement of the infrastructure of supports and services for individuals with developmental disabilities and their families. Individual and Family Supports will have staff comprised of support coordination, intake/eligibility, transition (school to post-secondary education life) and meaningful day/employment; transition (habilitation centers), placement coordinators, self-directed supports/services, and in home support team.

Contracted Provider: An agency or individual that enters into a contract with the Department of Mental Health, Division of Developmental Disabilities for direct or indirect services.

Customer Information Management, Outcomes, and Reporting Event Management Tracking (CIMOR EMT): A Department database which contains information from event reports as required by 9 CSR 10-5.206.

Indicator: A key value or quality characteristic used to measure, over time, the performance, processes, and outcomes of an organization or some component of service delivery.

Issues: An area reviewed where a problem is identified.

Missouri Quality Outcomes: A collection of positive outcomes identified by people with disabilities, family members and friends outlined in the Missouri Quality Outcomes Discussion Guide http://dmh.mo.gov/docs/dd/QualityoutMan.pdf. The Discussion Guide document serves as a tool designed to assist the service delivery network to put these desired concepts into practice.

Missouri Quality Outcomes Guide: The guiding principles that provide the Division direction when designing services for persons with Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities in the state of Missouri. The outcomes and accompanying discussion guide were developed as a result of soliciting input from people with disabilities, their families and friends. This guide serves to facilitate assisting individuals we serve to have productive and meaningful lives and to be full members in their communities, with rights and responsibilities equivalent to all citizens. http://dmh.mo.gov/docs/dd/QualityoutMan.pdf

Outcome: An agreed upon result of action to be taken as outlined in a plan or other intervention, that resolves issues, prevents reoccurrence and increases opportunities for implementation of the Missouri Quality Outcomes.

*Quality Functions: A process to monitor and affect services being provided, focusing upon health and welfare of individuals, meeting their needs and supporting them to achieve personal goals. The primary Quality Functions are:

- Service Monitoring 3.020 Service Monitoring Policy and Implementation Guidelines
- Incident Response System 4.070 Event Report Processing
- Fiscal Review 08 5.070 Fiscal Review
- Health Inventory Planning System (HIPS) and Nursing Review 3.090 Health Identification and Planning System Process
- Mortality Review 3.070 Death Notification and Mortality Review Process
- Self Advocate and Families for Excellence (SAFE) Review http://dmh.mo.gov/docs/dd/SAFEbrochure.pdf
- Personal Plan Review 4.060 Person Centered Planning Guidelines and Quality Enhancement Review
- Licensure and Certification Survey http://www.sos.mo.gov/adrules/csr/current/9csr/9c45-5.pdf
- Quality Enhancement Review 3.100 Quality Enhancement Review Basic Health and Safety
- Provider Relations Review <u>4.090 Provider Relations Policy</u>
- In addition to the Regional Office Quality Functions, there are other functions within and outside the
 department that also provide information, including, but not limited to, results of accreditation activities
 of CARF and CQL.

Quality Enhancement Plans:

• **Provider Improvement Plan:** Written outcome-based strategies outlining actions formulated from the integration or synthesis of information and issues gathered utilizing the Action Plan Tracking System (APTS), Customer Information Management, Outcomes, and Reporting system (CIMOR) as well as other available monitoring. Improvement Plans are written for the purpose of increasing performance above current levels, overall system improvement or to put processes into place to prevent an issue from developing into a more serious situation. These plans are only required under the criteria in the Provider Improvement Plan section of this directive.

- Provider Critical Status Plan: Written outcome-based strategies outlining actions formulated from the integration or synthesis of information and issues gathered utilizing the Action Plan Tracking System (APTS), Customer Information Management, Outcomes, and Reporting system (CIMOR) as well as other available monitoring data. A Critical Status Plan is considered a serious situation that must be mitigated and/or corrected. A Critical Status Plan may result from a provider not resolving issues as specified in the Improvement plan and could result in adverse action including termination of contract.
- Regional Office Quality Enhancement Plan: Written outcome-based strategies for the identified region, outlining actions formulated from the integration or synthesis of information and issues gathered utilizing APTS and CIMOR-EMT, as well as other available monitoring data. Quality Enhancement Plans are written for the Regional Office for the purpose of increasing performance above current levels and overall system improvement.
- **Division Quality Enhancement Plan:** Statewide plan based on the trend data from all quality enhancement processes to affect overall system improvement.

Regional Quality Enhancement Team: Staff designated at each regional office to monitor, track, trend and report data from the quality functions as well as respond to special requests for data based upon current standards, outcomes and promising practices.

Senate Bill 40 Board (SB 40): Statutorily authorized county board that funds and/or provides services for people with developmental disabilities. As referred to in this directive, those specific SB 40 boards that fund the specified service in partnership with the Division of DD. http://www.moga.mo.gov/statutes/c205.htm

Significant Issue: Multiple systems issues of concern and/or patterns of concern that repeatedly occur or that are pervasive throughout the provider's systems, or issues in which the health, safety and/or rights of an individual are in jeopardy.

Provider Relations: The Regional Office unit responsible for provider development to enhance the capacity for the provision of supports and services. In addition, the staff will provide technical assistance and monitoring; allocate resources, and management of the contracts with providers of supports and services.

Site: Location where provider documentation is maintained. The site could be in the individual's residence, site of delivered service, or the provider's administrative office.

State Quality Enhancement Unit: Staff within the Division of Developmental Disabilities that oversees and implements statewide Quality Enhancement Functions.

Data Integration, Analysis and Reporting

Each Quality Function shall have its own guidelines, designated implementation staff, and process of identification, communication, and remediation. This directive outlines the process for integrating and synthesizing information from the various quality functions. The results are recognition of practices and programs, reinforcement of progress toward outcomes and/or written outcome-based plans for (a) providers, (b) Regional Offices and (c) the Division of Developmental Disabilities. Identifying practices and programs for recognition would include such aspects as implementation of national best practice, adapting recognized evidence-based programs, achievement of accreditation, and going beyond the norm of basic expectations to provide quality supports to an individual. For accredited providers, the Regional QE staff will request the accreditation report, and any correspondence related to accreditation status.

The systematic process begins when staff responsible for implementing a specific Quality Function documents the information as outlined in the function and communicates this information to the Regional Quality Enhancement Team and regional management staff. Regional Office Quality Enhancement staff will review and analyze information in APTS, CIMOR-EMT and other sources a minimum of every six months for patterns or trends of individuals and/or providers, and generate a report to Regional Office Management, Provider Relations, Individual and Family Supports, and the related SB 40 Board for discussion with the provider. Upon request or upon notification of issues through a Quality Function that is entered in the tracking system, the QE team may complete an expanded or focused review for trends and issues at other times during the year.

Provider Improvement Plans

If there are multiple issues being identified for a provider, patterns of issues repeatedly occurring for an individual or provider, lack of follow up on issues (e.g., medical, individuals' funds, services & staff, maintaining a safe and clean environment, complaints, etc.), or the organization experiences a reduced level of accreditation due to concerns in the areas of health or safety, the Regional Office Provider Relations staff will discuss this with the organization and an improvement plan will be jointly developed for addressing these issues The organization will be responsible for submitting the agreed upon actions within negotiated time frames but not to exceed 30 days. The provider may be placed on a no referral-no growth status if criteria outlined in Appendix C are met. *Note: When issues or concerns are specific to an individual, the service coordinator will update the individual's personal plan accordingly.*

The Improvement Plan shall consist of the core components:

o Provider Name & Address

Responsible Parties

o Provider Location (as needed)

o Timelines for achieving the outcomes

o Regional Office

Progress

o Review Dates

Follow-up (responsible person and timelines)

 Category Indicator (Health, Environment/Safety, Individual Rights, Money, Services & Staff)

o Provider and Regional Office

Outcomes

Representative Signatures

Action Steps

If there are already plans in place from other activities, such as Certification Survey plan of correction or accreditation recommendations addressing the same issues, the Improvement Plan and already existing plans will be consolidated.

Provider Critical Status Plans

Division of DD Regional Offices must develop a Critical Status Plan in conjunction with the provider under the following circumstances:

- A significant increase in issues related to health, safety and/or rights as outlined in APTS for an individual or provider occurs;
- Failure of the appropriate preparation, prevention or response to a naturally occurring or unexpected event that poses a threat to the health or welfare of the individual (e.g. death, serious accident, flood, power outage);
- Improvement Plan is not being implemented; or
- Issues in the Improvement Plan are not being resolved.

Provider Relations will develop or update the Critical Status Plan within 10 days of notification that a plan is required. Designated staff shall distribute the plan to the provider and appropriate Regional Office staff. Regional QE staff will update the Critical Status tracking form. A copy of the plan shall be placed in the provider file. The Critical Status Plan may include increased monitoring and/or other activities by the Regional Office.

The Regional Office will send a copy of the Critical Status Plan to Licensure and Certification prior to the provider's Certification expiration date. The plan will also be sent to the related SB 40 Board/other case management entity. If the provider is deemed certified, Provider Relations and State Quality Enhancement Staff shall follow established protocol for communication with accrediting bodies. The provider will be placed on a no referral-no growth status if criteria are met, as outline in Appendix C.

Critical Status Plans will be reviewed at least every 30 days for progress and updated as needed. The Critical Status Plan should be time-limited and should not exceed a six-month target. The plan is an ongoing document that includes all significant issues along with progress made and may include positive findings. If appropriate progress is made and the areas of concern corrected, the plan may continue in the category of a Provider Improvement Plan for an agreed-upon period of time to assess that the improvements are maintained.

Certified agencies that do not make progress on their Critical Status Plan may be put in conditional Certification status, if the issues found are related to the areas of Certification. If progress is not made as outlined in the Critical Status Plan or within six months, the Regional Director will consider the situation and decide what further action will be taken.

The Critical Status Plan shall consist of the core components:

o Provider Name

Regional Office

People Present

o Review Dates (past, present, and o Progress

Provider Address

Agreed upon Outcomes

o DMH authority source

 Category Indicator Environment/Safety, Individual Rights, Money, Services & Staff)

Action Steps

o Responsible Parties

Timelines

o Follow-up (responsible person and

timelines)

o Provider and Regional Office

Representative Signature

Distribution list

(Health, o Provider Location (as needed)

Note: Appendix A contains a sample of a format for the Critical Status Plan. This format may be used but plans are accepted as long as the plan clearly contains the core components.

No Growth or No Referral Status

The processes followed and the criteria for placing a hold on growth or on referrals are reflected in Appendix C of this directive.

Appeal Process

The decision to place an organization on a Critical Status Plan or to identify the organization as a No Growth or No Referral status may be appealed to the Division Director/designee within 30 days of the organization being notified of the status by the Regional Office. The appeal must be in writing and can be submitted either electronically or via regular mail. The following should be included in the appeal request:

- The name of the organization;
- The name of the person requesting the appeal;
- The circumstances which placed the organization on the Critical Status Plan and/or No Growth and No Referral status;
- The reasons for appealing the decision; and
- Any documentation that supports the organization's position.

The Division Director/designee will respond to the appeal within 14 days with a decision. The Division Director's decision is final.

Regional Office Quality Enhancement Plan

Regional staff shall integrate all information from APTS, CIMOR-EMT, and other data sources to assist in developing and updating the Regional Quality Enhancement Plan. The plans will address the issues identified from these sources and indicate any progress made.

- The Regional Quality Enhancement Team along with the State Quality Enhancement Unit will aggregate and analyze data at least quarterly to identify regional trends, positive findings and opportunities for improvement. This information will be provided to the Regional Director and the regional management team.
- The Regional Director or designee will share regional aggregate trend information with the District Administrator, other regional staff, SB 40 Boards and providers.
- Designated staff will develop an ongoing Regional Office Quality Enhancement Plan to address regional trends, monitor progress and update the plan as the trend reports are updated.
- The Regional Quality Management Plan, Appendix B, will consist of the following information:
 - Regional Office
 - People Present
 - Review Dates (past, present, and future)
 - Agreed upon Outcomes
 - o DMH authority source
 - Category Indicator (Health, Environment/Safety, Individual Rights, Money, Services & Staff)
- Action Steps
- o Responsible Parties
- o Timelines
- o Progress
- o Positive Findings
- o Signature of Regional Office representative
- o Distribution list

Statewide Quality Enhancement Plan

At least annually, the State Quality Enhancement Unit shall identify statewide trends based on the integration of all the Regional Quality Enhancement Plans, as well as through aggregation of state-wide data from the quality functions, and other initiatives such as National Core Indicators. The team will identify positive outcomes as well as opportunities for improvement.

The State Quality Enhancement Unit will develop a Division of DD Statewide Quality Enhancement Plan in response to statewide trends identified in aggregate reports. The proposed plan, which will include the remediation of issues that relate to systems that impede the Division's progress, will be presented to the Division's Management Team.

The Division of DD State Quality Enhancement Unit shall review, at least annually, the Statewide Quality Plan and share progress made with the Division, Department, providers, and individuals.

Authority

HCB Medicaid Waiver: http://dmh.mo.gov/dd/manuals/waivermanuals.htm

9 CSR 10-1.010, 9 CSR 45-5.010 and 9 CSR 45-5.060: http://www.sos.mo.gov/adrules/csr/current/9csr/9csr.asp#9-45

Critical Status Plan

Provider Name:	Date Plan Developed:	Regional Office:
Provider Address:	Review Date(s):	Phone:
Persons Present at Meeting:		

Core Issues

What/Why?	Projected	Action Step(s)	Responsible	Projected Date for	Progress/Date
(authority source)	Outcome		Person(s)	Completion	Completed
<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>
<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>		<u>2.</u>
3.	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	3.	3.	<u>3.</u>
<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	4 <u>.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
<u> 3.</u>	<u> 5.</u>	<u> 3.</u>	<u> 3.</u>	<u> 3.</u>	<u>5.</u>
1	1	1	1	1	1
$\frac{1}{2}$	1. 2.	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1. 2.
3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.
<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>
1.	<u>1.</u>	1.	1.	1.	1.
<u>2.</u>	<u>∠.</u> 3	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>∠.</u>	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
<u>3.</u> 4.	<u>3.</u> 4.	<u>5.</u> 4.	<u>3.</u> 4.	<u>3.</u> 4.	<u>5.</u> 4.
5.	 5.	5.	5.	5.	 5.
<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>
<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>
$\frac{3}{4}$	3.	3.	3.	$\frac{3}{4}$	3.
4. 5	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	4. 5	4. 5	4. 5	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
1	1	1	1	1	1
$\frac{\cdot \cdot}{2}$	2.	$\frac{\cdot \cdot}{2 \cdot}$	$\frac{\cdot \cdot}{2 \cdot}$	$\frac{\cdot \cdot \cdot}{2 \cdot \cdot}$	1 <u>.</u> 2.
		1. 1. 2. 3. 3. 4. 5. 5. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5.	(authority source) Outcome 1. 1. 2. 2. 3. 4. 4. 5. 1. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5. 5. 1. 2. 3. 4. 4. 5. 5. 5. 1. 2. 3. 4. 4. 5. 5. 5. 1. 2. 3. 4. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.	(authority source) Outcome Person(s) 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 3. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 5.	(authority source) Outcome Person(s) Completion 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 3. 4.

Appendix A	
------------	--

3. 4. 5.	3. 4. 5.	3 <u>.</u> 4 <u>.</u> 5 <u>.</u>				
Other	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>
2. 3.	<u>2.</u> <u>3.</u>	<u>2.</u> <u>3.</u>	<u>2.</u> <u>3.</u>	<u>2.</u> <u>3.</u>	<u>2.</u> <u>3.</u>	<u>2.</u> <u>3.</u>
\[\frac{4.}{5.} \]	$\left \frac{4}{5} \right $	<u>4.</u> <u>5.</u>	$\left \frac{4}{5} \right $	<u>4.</u> <u>5.</u>	$\left \frac{4}{5} \right $	<u>4.</u> <u>5.</u>

Plan for Follow-up:

Additional	Notes:
ILUMINIOHMI	1 10000

Positive Findings:

Provider Representative Signature(s):

Regional Office Representative Signature(s):

CC: Regional Director

SC

Provider

Provider File

___Regional Office Plan

Persons Present at Meeting:

Category	DMH Authority Source	Projected Outcomes	Action Step(s)	Responsible Person(s)	Projected Date for Completion	Progress/Date Completed
Services						
	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>
<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>
<u>3.</u>	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>
=	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>
Environment/Safety						
<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>
<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>
<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>
<u>1.</u> <u>2.</u> <u>3.</u> <u>4.</u> 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
_	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>
Health						
<u>1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u> 1.</u>	<u>1.</u>	<u> 1.</u>	<u> 1.</u>	<u> 1.</u>
<u>2.</u>	2.	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>
3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u> 5.</u>
Money						
<u>1.</u>	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>1.</u>
<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	\(\frac{2.}{2}\)	\ \frac{\zeta.}{2}	\ \frac{\zeta.}{2}	\ \frac{\zeta.}{2}	<u>2.</u>
<u>3.</u> 4	3.	3.	3. 1	3.	3. 1	3.
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Rights	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
4	1	1	1	1	1	1
<u>''</u>	$\left \frac{1}{2} \right $	$\left \frac{1}{2} \right $	1 1.	$\left \frac{1}{2} \right $	1 2	1.
<u>~.</u> 3	<u>~·</u>	2. 3	\ \frac{\zeta_{\cdot}}{3}	3	3	 3
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
5.	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{\cdot \cdot \cdot}{5 \cdot \cdot}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	5.	::

Appendix B

Other						
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2.	2.	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{\cdot \cdot}{2}$	2.	$\frac{1}{2}$::
3.	3.	$\frac{1}{3}$	3.	3.	3.	=
4.	<u>4.</u>	4.	4.	<u>4.</u>	<u>4.</u>	4.
5.	5 .	5.	<u>5.</u>	5 .	5.	5.
Other		_				
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\left \frac{1}{2} \right $	1.	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1.	$\frac{1}{2}$	1.
<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>2.</u>	2.
<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>3.</u>	3.
4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.
$\frac{\overline{5}}{5}$	<u>5.</u>	$\frac{1}{5}$	5.	<u>5.</u>	<u>5.</u>	5.

Additional Notes:

Positive Findings:

Regional Office Representative Signature(s):

CC: District Administrator

Director Providers

Criteria for No Referrals and No Growth

After assessing the nature and scope of the issues/conditions identified, the Regional Director will confer with the District Administrator and decide whether to place the organization on no growth, no referral or a combination of both. The Regional Director/designee will notify the provider in writing that they will not receive referrals and/or will not be able to add new services or a new contract (e.g. no additions of group homes or supported living sites) until the situation has been resolved and there is evidence that it will remain resolved.

When an organization provides services in more than one region, the Regional Directors and District Administrators will communicate the issues and come to a joint decision, after discussion regarding the severity of the situation and any patterns or trends identified in the associated regions. The Regional Director(s) and District Administrator(s) will determine if this status will be limited to a specific provider site, all services within a specified region, or applied statewide. If applied in multiple regions or statewide, the District Administrator(s) will notify the provider in writing that they are in a no referral and/or no growth status. This status is intended to be of limited duration during the period of resolution of the issues. If no resolution is achieved, additional action may be taken.

The criteria include:

- Administrator/Owner/Operator is being investigated for a disqualifying offense, e.g., sexual or physical abuse, neglect, misuse of funds, or a criminal record. (Each case would be based on the unique circumstances of the situation.)
- An unexpected death in which the initial review, done within 30 days by DMH staff, finds suspicion of abuse or neglect.
- No Qualified Developmental Disabilities Professional (QDDP) or Community R.N. (CRN) for over 60 days. Once obtained, must keep the same QDDP or CRN for at least 90 days before referrals will be made
- Provider has been placed on Conditional Certification;
- Provider loses or gives up Accreditation because of inability to meet standards. (This would not apply if the provider gave up accreditation voluntarily for other reasons.)
- There are multiple issues identified from reviews conducted by the Division, certification surveys or accreditation surveys.
- There are patterns of concerns repeatedly occurring for a consumer or organization and/or a significant increase in concerns for a consumer or provider, e.g., repeated episodes of abuse & neglect.
- A critical incident occurred where negligence was discovered.
- There is lack of follow up on issues (e.g., medical, consumer funds, services & staff, maintaining a safe and clean environment, complaints, etc.). Examples may include but are not limited to:
 - o No notes or evidence of visits from CRN for 2 or more months.
 - o Serious staffing issues, e.g., failure to maintain designated staffing ratios; staff on shift does not have the appropriate training and/or other criteria as listed in the contract and service definitions.
 - Lack of follow up by provider, CRN or QDDP of issues/concerns noted during monitoring, e.g., services monitoring, nursing reviews, regional QA reviews, Fiscal Reviews etc., and/or issues noted on the Critical Status Plan.
 - o Failure to comply with the contract, e.g., relocating people without notifying and receiving approval from the Regional Office.